2/1/2023 **FOR YOUR INFORMATION** 2023-22/9-4

To: Airport Manager, Birmingham-Shuttlesworth Intl, (BHM), AL, FAA (ATM BHM 1937867

TRACON, AAS-1)

Info: FAA (AAS-300, AVP-1, AVP-200, AJV-A, AJI-144, ASO-600, AFS-260, AFS-200, Director of Air Traffic Operations ESA South), A4A, AAAE, ALPA, AOPA, APA, ASAP, CAPA, ATSAP, ATSG, IATA, IBT, ICAO, ICASS, IFALPA, IPA, NATCA, NBAA, NTSB, RAA,

SWAPA, Jeppesen Sanderson Inc.

From: Becky L. Hooey, Director

NASA Aviation Safety Reporting System

Re: BHM Runway 36 Terrain Concern

We recently received ASRS reports describing a safety concern that may involve your area of operational responsibility. We do not have sufficient details to assess either the factual accuracy or possible gravity of the report. It is our policy to relay the reported information to the appropriate authority for evaluation and any necessary follow-up. We feel you should be aware of the enclosed deidentified report.

To properly assess the usefulness of our alert message service, we would appreciate it if you would take the time to give us your feedback on the value of the information that we have provided. Please contact Dr. Becky Hooey at (408) 541-2854 or email at becky.l.hooey@nasa.gov.





ACN 1937867	
DATE / TIME	
Date of Occurrence Local Time Of Day	202209 0601 to 1200
PLACE	
Locale State Altitude - AGL	BHM.Tower AL 500
AIRCRAFT / EQUIPMENT X	
ATC / Advisory - Tower Make Model Name Operating Under FAR Part	BHM Light Transport 91
PERSON 1	
Function - Flight Crew Function - Flight Crew ASRS Report Number	Captain Pilot Not Flying 1937867
EVENTS	
Anomaly Anomaly	Deviation - Altitude - Excursion From Assigned Altitude Deviation / Discrepancy - Procedural - Published Material / Policy
NARRATIVE 1	Inflight Event / Encounter - CFTT / CFIT

Weather was excellent day VMC. Because of our arrival track we opted to use Runway 36. The pilot flying (PF) decided to back up the approach with the RNAV 36. In the briefing we noted it was not a LPV or VNAV approach, but that the approach met the criteria for a Continuous Descent Final Approach (CDFA) approach. We were cleared down to I believe 3000 ft. prior to being cleared for the approach, and we intercepted well outside of the FAF. In accordance with SOP, the PF armed approach and VNAV. The approach was normal and the airplane was exactly on the VGP (Vertical Glide Path), however, descending from the FAF we crossed the ridge south of the airport at a mere 500 feet. Surprisingly we did not get a GPWS warning, and the PF disconnected the autopilot to shallow out the descent before rejoining on the other side. Doubling checking, the only notes on that runway is that it is not authorized at night. There is no mention of the terrain. Looking at online sources, 121 operators have experienced GPWS alerts while conducting CDFA approaches on this approach.

There should at least be ops notes about this ridge, and consideration should be given to restricting or modifying this approach. Longer term, improving the chart to depict the terrain would also be helpful.

SYNOPSIS

Captain reported while on the RNAV approach to Runway 36 at BHM Airport, the aircraft crossed a ridge only by 500 ft. The Captain states there is no alert or warning regarding the ridge and believes there should be some type of notation.